Atty Dkt No. BII 0128 PUS

S/N: 11/015,184

Reply to Office Action of March 23, 2006

Remarks

Claims 1-6 and 8-17 remain pending in this application after entry of this paper.

Original claims 1-6 and 8-17 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by

Morgan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,608,453). Original claim 7 was objected to as being

dependent upon a rejected base claim, but was indicated as being allowable if rewritten in

independent form.

Independent claims 1 and 8 have been amended to incorporate the subject matter

of original dependent claim 7, and claim 7 has been canceled. Each independent claim now

recites the further limitation of each light controller including a power-fail safe real time clock

(RTC) device for allowing resynchronization of the plurality of light controllers to an existing

scenario execution. The remaining claims are dependent claims.

Claims 1-6 and 8-17 are believed to be in condition for allowance and such

action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

STORM S. SEARS ET AL.

Attorney/Agent for Applicant

Date: <u>June 23, 2006</u>

BROOKS KUSHMAN P.C.

1000 Town Center, 22nd Floor

Southfield, MI 48075-1238

Phone: 248-358-4400

Fax: 248-358-3351

-6-